

The Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Dear The Federal Communications Commission,

I refuse to pay more for my telephone service. I therefore demand that you reject the flat-fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am convinced that this proposal would make my current service unaffordable.

Under the flat-fee proposal you are considering, individuals or families who make few long distance calls would pay the same as individuals or businesses that make many long distance calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same Universal Service Fund cost burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is manifestly unfair!

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. Under the USF flat-fee plan I would lose the benefit of a low-usage fee schedule so that big businesses could pay less than their fair share.

I again demand that you reject the proposal to change the USF collection system to a flat-fee basis.

Sincerely,

Alfred Roberts
69 Kuggas Place
Bergenfield, New Jersey 07621